## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CHARLES THOMPSON,

CIVIL ACTION NO. 10-CV-2058

Plaintiff,

VS.

MED-MIZER, INC.

Defendant.

## **ORDER**

 $30^{th}$ day of November, 2012, upon consideration of AND NOW, this Defendant Med-Mizer, Inc.'s Motion for Summary Judgment (Dkt. No. 73) filed on October 15, 2012, Plaintiff Thompson's Reply to Motion of Med-Mizer, Inc. for Summary Judgment (Dkt. No. 76) filed on November 2, 2012, Defendant Med-Mizer, Inc.'s Reply Brief to Plaintiff's Reply to Med-Mizer's Motion for Summary Judgment (Dkt. 82) filed on November 19, 2012; and for the reasons expressed in the foregoing Memorandum,

**IT IS ORDERED** that Defendant Med-Mizer, Inc.'s Motion for Summary Judgment is **GRANTED** with respect to Count II of Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint. In all other respects, Defendant Med-Mizer, Inc.'s Motion for Summary Judgment is **DENIED**.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Henry S. Perkin

HENRY S. PERKIN

United States Magistrate Judge